ORIGINAL

FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

DUBLIN DIVISION

2015 JAN 14 PM 2:59

SO. DIST. OF GA.

IN RE: SARALAND, LLLP,

Case No. 314-140

Debtor.

(Bankr. Case No. 12-30113)

ORDER

On November 12, 2014, the United States Bankruptcy Court entered an Order granting the Chapter 11 Trustee's motion to sell certain real property in the underlying Chapter 11 proceeding pertaining to the debtor, Saraland, LLLP. (See Bankr. Case No. 12-30113 (S.D. Ga. Mar. 29, 2012).) On December 1, 2014, Mr. Lister W. Harrell appealed this Order to this Court, the fifth appeal taken in the underlying bankruptcy case. Mr. Harrell is a general partner of the debtor. (Bankr. Doc. No. 1, at 3.) Mr. Harrell did not pay the appellate filing fee; on November 18, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court issued a well-reasoned, detailed, fourteen-page Report and Recommendation, recommending denial of Mr. Harrell's in forma pauperis request. (Bankr. Doc. No. 762.)

The Notice of Appeal is dated and signed on November 21, 2014, and postmarked November 25, 2014; it is therefore timely. Adams v. United States, 173 F.3d 1339, 1341 (11th Cir. 1999) ("Under the 'mailbox rule,' the date of filing with the court is the date a prisoner delivers a petition or other filing to prison authorities for mailing."); see also Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8002(c)(1) (effective Dec. 1, 2014).

This current appeal must be dismissed for two reasons. First, on December 9, 2014, this Court adopted the Report and Recommendation as its opinion and denied in forma pauperis status to Mr. Harrell. (Bankr. Doc. No. 791.) Thus, without payment of the requisite filing fee, this appeal must be dismissed.

federal courts οf limited Second, courts are jurisdiction. As a district court, this Court only has jurisdiction over three types of appeals from the Bankruptcy Court: (1) final judgments, orders, and decrees, as described in 28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(1); (2) interlocutory appeals increasing or reducing the time periods under 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d); and (3) all other interlocutory orders with leave of court, as described in 28 U.S.C. § 158(a)(3) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8001(b) & 8003. See generally 28 U.S.C. § 158(a). A final order in a bankruptcy court is "one that ends the litigation on the merits and leaves nothing for the court to do but execute its judgment." In re Fulton, 111 F.3d 92, 93 (11th Cir. 1997) (citation omitted). The Report and Recommendation from which Mr. Harrell appeals is not a final order or judgment, but rather an interlocutory order; and Mr. Harrell has not sought leave to file such appeal. Even if a motion for leave to appeal is not filed, however, the district court may "treat the notice of appeal as a motion for leave and either grant or deny it." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8004(d).

Accordingly, this Court will consider whether leave to appeal should be granted on the strength of the record and the Notice of Appeal filed on December 1, 2014.

In determining whether to grant discretionary interlocutory appeals from a bankruptcy court, the district court uses the same standard set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b), which governs discretionary interlocutory appeals from district courts to the courts of appeals. Under § 1292(b), an appealing party must show that (1) the order presents a controlling question of law (2) over which there is a substantial ground for difference of opinion among courts, and (3) the immediate resolution of the issue would materially advance the ultimate termination of the litigation. District interlocutory bankruptcy appeals should allow courts sparingly. Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis, 519 U.S. 61, 74 (1996) ("Routine resort to \$ 1292(b) requests would hardly comport with Congress' design to reserve interlocutory review for 'exceptional' cases while generally retaining for the federal courts a firm final judgment rule.").

Upon due consideration, the Court exercises its discretion and denies Mr. Harrell leave to appeal because he has not met the standard for a discretionary interlocutory appeal. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the appeal be DISMISSED. The Clerk is directed to CLOSE the case, and all pending motions are DENIED AS MOOT.

ORDER ENTERED at Augusta, Georgia, this _____day of

January, 2015.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE